Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Disclosure in Africa: Current State of Play

Joseph Mumba[1*] & Adesola Olayinka[2]

[1]*Associate Professor at ESAMI Headquarters, Arusha Tanzania*

[2]*Ag DVC Lincoln University Eswatini Inception Team*

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This paper evaluates the operational landscape, regulatory architectures, and corporate adoption patterns of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures across four key African capital markets: South Africa, Nigeria, Ghana, and Kenya.

Design/methodology/approach: Utilizing a multi-jurisdictional documentary review protocol across the period 2018–2025, regulatory instruments, listing requirements, national accounting codes, and corporate sustainability reports (N = 240 corporate firm-years) were evaluated against a 7-dimension structural framework.

Findings: The results demonstrate significant institutional heterogeneity. South Africa exhibits a mature statutory regime anchored by King IV and mandatory JSE listing rules. Nigeria and Kenya are undergoing rapid regulatory formalization driven by Central Bank mandates and stock exchange sustainability principles, while Ghana maintains a predominantly voluntary framework. Across all markets, a substantial implementation gap persists between regulatory adoption and third-party assurance.

Originality/value: The study provides an empirical diagnostic matrix for capital market regulators and establishes a baseline for tracking the implementation of IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 standards across emerging African economies.

Keywords: ESG Disclosure, Sustainability Reporting, ISSB, IFRS S1/S2, Corporate Governance, African Capital Markets.

1. INTRODUCTION

The global convergence toward standardized corporate sustainability reporting has accelerated dramatically following the establishment of the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) and the issuance of IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 standards. While international capital markets increasingly mandate rigorous Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) metrics, emerging economies face distinct institutional challenges in operationalizing these frameworks (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022). In Africa, where capital markets are characterized by varying liquidity, high foreign investor reliance, and distinct socioeconomic dynamics, ESG reporting has evolved from an ad-hoc corporate social responsibility (CSR) exercise into a critical pillar of market infrastructure (Makiwane & Padia, 2021).

Despite growing academic interest, prior literature on African ESG reporting suffers from two key limitations: descriptive over-generalization and the failure to distinguish voluntary exchange guidelines from statutory regulatory mandates. This paper addresses these gaps by evaluating four representative capital market archetypes—South Africa (advanced mature), Nigeria (large-cap rapid adopter), Kenya (regional hub with central bank directives), and Ghana (emerging voluntary regime). Specifically, this study answers three research questions: (RQ1) How do statutory mandates and stock exchange rules differ across the four markets? (RQ2) What is the empirical depth of corporate ESG disclosure and independent assurance? and (RQ3) What institutional barriers impede full alignment with ISSB standards?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW & THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study grounds its evaluation in *Institutional Theory*—specifically coercive, mimetic, and normative isomorphism (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983)—and *Resource Dependence Theory*. Coercive isomorphism explains how regulatory enforcement by central banks and capital market authorities drives corporate compliance, while mimetic isomorphism accounts for non-mandated firms adopting global reporting templates (e.g., GRI, TCFD) to attract international institutional capital (Nelson et al., 2023).

Early African disclosure research primarily focused on South Africa following the pioneering King Reports (King I through King IV), which established integrated reporting as a listing mandate on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) (Eccles et al., 2019). Recent studies in West and East Africa highlight a shift from voluntary sustainability initiatives to structured sector-specific mandates, particularly within banking and extractive industries (Okaro & Okafor, 2022). However, institutional weaknesses—such as limited local ESG auditing expertise and sparse carbon accounting infrastructure—continue to create substantial implementation deltas across jurisdictions.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A systematic documentary review methodology was executed following PRISMA guidelines for policy and regulatory research. The sample comprises regulatory instruments, stock exchange listing rules, central bank guidelines, and annual integrated/sustainability reports from 60 listed firms per jurisdiction (Total N = 240 firm-years) across the 2018–2025 observation window.

Document Analysis Search String:
("ESG" OR "Sustainability Reporting" OR "Integrated Reporting" OR "IFRS S1" OR "TCFD") AND ("Listing Requirements" OR "Mandatory Disclosure") AND ("South Africa" OR "Nigeria" OR "Kenya" OR "Ghana")

To evaluate corporate disclosure depth, reports were coded against a 7-dimension structural framework. Each dimension was scored on a 0–2 point scale (0 = No disclosure, 1 = Qualitative narrative, 2 = Quantified metric with external assurance), generating a Disclosure Intensity Index (DII):

$$DII_i = \sum (Score_j) / Max\ Score\ (14) \times 100$$

4. EMPIRICAL FINDINGS & CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL ANALYSIS

Table 1 presents the comparative evaluation across the seven structural dimensions across South Africa, Nigeria, Kenya, and Ghana.

Dimension	South Africa (JSE)	Nigeria (NGX)	Kenya (NSE)	Ghana (GSE)
1. Regulatory Mandate	Mandatory King IV code & JSE Sustainability Disclosure Guidance (2022).	Mandatory Sustainable Banking Principles (CBN); NGX Disclosure Guidelines.	CBK Climate Risk Guidelines (2021); NSE ESG Guidance Manual (2021).	Voluntary GSE ESG Guidelines; Bank of Ghana Sustainable Banking Principles.
2. Reporting Standards	GRI, TCFD, IFRS S1/S2 early adopter roadmap (2024–2025).	FRCN adoption roadmap for IFRS S1/S2 (Phased 2024–2028).	GRI dominant; voluntary alignment with ISSB climate standards.	GRI framework used by blue-chip listed firms; low ISSB adoption.
3. Oversight & Enforcement	High: JSE proactive monitoring & Financial Surveillance.	Moderate: SEC & CBN regulatory compliance reviews.	Moderate: Central Bank audits for financial institutions.	Emerging: Limited enforcement by SEC Ghana.
4. Corporate Format	Integrated Report (94% listed top-100 firms).	Standalone ESG / Sustainability Report (48% listed).	Annual Report sustainability section (62% listed).	Qualitative CSR narrative in Annual Report (71%).
5. Assurance Rate	High (ISAE 3000 / ISAE 3410 applied by 68% top firms).	Low to Moderate (22% independent third-party assurance).	Low (14% independent third-party assurance).	Very Low (< 8% verified assurance).

IJDIS
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION STUDIES

6. Capacity Index	Advanced: Local carbon assurers & ESG rating infrastructure.	Developing: FRC capacitybuilding programs underway.	Developing: Professional bodies driving training.	Constrained: Reliance on international consulting firms.
7. Implementation Delta	Low (Policy closely matches corporate reporting).	Moderate (High reporting in banking; low in oil/gas).	Moderate (Tier-1 banks lead; mid-caps lag).	High (Substantial policyto-practice disconnect).

5. DISCUSSION: INSTITUTIONAL DRIVERS & IMPLEMENTATION GAPS

The empirical findings support the theoretical model of coercive and mimetic isomorphism in shaping African ESG disclosure practices. South Africa's mature market position (Mean DII = 88.4%) reflects three decades of institutional evolution initiated by the King Committee, demonstrating that statutory listing rules combined with aggressive enforcement create a self-reinforcing disclosure culture (Eccles et al., 2019). In contrast, Nigeria (Mean DII = 62.1%) and Kenya (Mean DII = 54.8%) exhibit a dual-speed disclosure regime: highly regulated financial institutions achieve compliance levels approaching European standards, whereas non-financial sectors lag significantly due to fragmented regulatory enforcement.

A central contribution of this study is identifying the *Assurance Gap* across non-South African markets. While 79% of sampled Nigerian listed firms publish qualitative sustainability information, only 22% obtain formal ISAE 3000 or ISAE 3410 assurance for their non-financial metrics. This imbalance exposes capital markets to greenwashing risks and limits the utility of disclosures for international institutional investors requiring verified carbon emissions (Scope 1, 2, and 3) data.

6. STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT WITH INTERNATIONAL ISSB FRAMEWORKS

The global rollout of IFRS S1 (General Requirements) and IFRS S2 (Climate-related Disclosures) presents both an opportunity and a structural challenge for African economies. The Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria (FRCN) established an early adoption roadmap targeting mandatory compliance for commercial entities by 2026 (FRCN, 2024). However, operationalizing Scope 3 emissions reporting remains problematic across all four markets due to fragmented supply chain data and limited local carbon accounting tools.

IJDIS
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION STUDIES

Table 2 details the quantitative breakdown of sampled firm performance, reporting formats, and assurance statistics compiled during the 2018–2025 assessment protocol.

Jurisdiction	Sample Size (N)	Mean DII Score	Integrated Format (%)	Assurance Rate (%)
South Africa (JSE)	60 firm-years	88.4%	94.0%	68.3%
Nigeria (NGX)	60 firm-years	62.1%	48.3%	21.7%
Kenya (NSE)	60 firm-years	54.8%	31.7%	13.3%
Ghana (GSE)	60 firm-years	39.2%	11.7%	6.7%
Sample Total / Mean	**N = 240**	**61.1%**	**46.4%**	**27.5%**

The data demonstrates that regulatory mandates are the primary determinant of both disclosure intensity and independent assurance. Voluntary guidelines (e.g., Ghana) yield low assurance rates (<7%), whereas mandatory integrated reporting frameworks (e.g., South Africa) achieve superior market-wide compliance (>68%).

7. CONCLUSION, POLICY IMPLICATIONS & LIMITATIONS

This study provides a multi-jurisdictional empirical analysis of ESG disclosure across emerging African capital markets. The findings confirm that while ESG awareness has normalized, disclosure quality remains bifurcated along regulatory enforcement lines. To accelerate market maturity and prepare for global ISSB standards, we propose a three-tiered policy agenda:

1. **Capital Market Regulators:** Transition from voluntary exchange guidelines to mandatory statutory disclosure roadmaps with explicit phased timelines for IFRS S1 and S2 adoption.
2. **Professional Audit Bodies:** Standardize ISAE 3000/3410 training to build local technical capacity for independent third-party ESG assurance.
3. **Corporate Boards:** Embed ESG governance directly into board audit committees, shifting corporate focus from qualitative CSR narratives to verifiable carbon data.

Limitations: This study is bounded by its focus on listed equity issuers. Future research should examine ESG adoption among private enterprises, state-owned entities, and small-and-medium enterprises (SMEs) across the continent.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, C. A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2022). Connecting the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental, social and governance (ESG) investing and accounting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 35(2), 499–516.
2. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
3. Eccles, R. G., Krzus, M. P., & Solano, C. (2019). A survey of integrated reporting in South Africa. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 31(2), 22–35.
4. Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria (FRCN). (2024). *Roadmap report for the adoption of IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Standards in Nigeria*. FRCN Publications, Lagos.
5. Makiwane, P., & Padia, N. (2021). Evaluation of corporate social responsibility disclosure practices in developing countries: Evidence from South Africa. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 11(4), 540–562.
6. Nelson, M., Okpala, C., & Boateng, A. (2023). Determinants of ESG disclosure quality in Sub-Saharan African banking sectors. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 20(3), 285–301.
7. Okaro, S. C., & Okafor, G. O. (2022). Sustainable banking principles and corporate disclosure in West Africa. *African Development Review*, 34(1), 112–126.

IJDIS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION STUDIES

DECLARATIONS & COMPLIANCE STATEMENTS

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial or personal interests.
Ethical Approval: Not applicable. Study relies exclusively on secondary analysis of public corporate documents.
Data Availability Statement: Coded dataset (DII Scores N=240) available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.